

Mixed 3-Sasakian structures and curvature

Angelo V. Caldarella and Anna Maria Pastore

Abstract

In this paper we deal with two classes of mixed metric 3-structures, namely the mixed 3-Sasakian structures and the mixed metric 3-contact structures. Firstly we study some properties of the curvature of mixed 3-Sasakian structures, proving that any manifold endowed with such a structure is Einstein. Then we prove the identity between the class of mixed 3-Sasakian structures and the class of mixed metric 3-contact structures.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 53C15, 53C25, 53C50.

Keywords and phrases. Mixed metric 3-structures; mixed 3-Sasakian structures; mixed metric 3-contact structures; paracontact structures; semi-Riemannian manifolds; Einstein manifolds.

1 Introduction

The geometry of 3-Sasakian manifolds is a well-known topic, since its introduction, made independently by Kuo ([16]) and Udriște ([19]), which has been extensively and deeply studied, in a first stage, by Ishihara, Kashiwada, Konishi, Kuo, Tachibana, Tanno, Yu and other geometers of the Japanese school, and then, from a different viewpoint, by Boyer, Galicki and Mann. Among the various works and papers, we only recall the last chapter of [1] and the remarkable survey [2], to which we refer the reader for more details about such structures, as well as for historical remarks and bibliographic references. On the other hand, only very recently a first study of the analogue odd-dimensional geometries related to the algebra of paraquaternionic numbers has been conducted by Ianuș, Mazzocco and Vilcu in [8] and [9].

In analogy with an early result of Kashiwada ([12]) for Sasakian 3-structures, the first of the two main results we shall present in this paper is for manifolds endowed with mixed 3-Sasakian structures. Namely, any manifold with a mixed 3-Sasakian structure is Einstein. This can be also regarded as an analogous to the well-known fact that a paraquaternionic Kähler manifold is Einstein (cf. [7]). To this purpose, we shall need some formulas for the curvature tensor of a manifold with paraSasakian structure and of a manifold with indefinite Sasakian structure. Some formulas recently proved in [20] shall be here recovered, even if in a slightly more general way, because of the fact that we consider here both the cases of positive and negative paraSasakian structures.

The second main result is concerned with the identity between the class of mixed metric 3-contact structures and the class of mixed 3-Sasakian structures (see Kashiwada [14] for the case of 3-contact metric manifolds). Its achievement is based on an extension of Kashiwada's generalization of a lemma of Hitchin (cf. [13]) to the almost hyper paraHermitian case.

The content of the paper is now briefly described.

In section 2 we give some fundamental definitions and facts about paracontact metric structures (cf. [6], [20]), which, together with the notion of indefinite almost contact metric

structure ([3]), are at the root of the notion of mixed metric 3-structure. We also recall few definitions concerning almost hyper paraHermitian structures. In section 3, after introducing the notation of $[r]$ -Sasakian structure, $r = \pm 1$, to mean an indefinite Sasakian structure for $r = +1$, and a paraSasakian structure for $r = -1$, we prove some preliminary issues, needed to state, in section 4, the result concerning the mixed 3-Sasakian manifolds. Finally, section 5 is devoted to prove that a mixed metric 3-contact structure is in fact a mixed 3-Sasakian structure.

All manifolds and tensor fields are assumed to be smooth.

2 Preliminaries

We recall few definitions about paracomplex and hyper paracomplex structures. For more details we refer to [5] and [10].

Definition 2.1. An *almost product structure* on a manifold M is a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field $F \neq \pm I$, satisfying $F^2 = I$; the pair (M, F) is then said to be an *almost product manifold*.

On an almost product manifold (M, F) we have $TM = T^+M \oplus T^-M$, where T^+M and T^-M are the eigensubbundles related to the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 of F . If $\text{rank}(T^+M) = \text{rank}(T^-M)$, then (M, F) is said to be *almost paracomplex manifold*. Finally, an almost product (paracomplex) manifold (M, F) is called *product (paracomplex) manifold*, if $N_F = 0$, being N_F the Nijenhuis tensor field of the structure F . Any (almost) paracomplex manifold has even dimension.

An (almost) paracomplex manifold (M, F) is called *(almost) paraHermitian manifold* if there exists a metric tensor g compatible with F , i.e. such that $g(FX, Y) + g(X, FY) = 0$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Such a metric is necessarily semi-Riemannian, with neutral signature.

Definition 2.2. An *almost hyper paraHermitian structure* on a manifold M is a triple (J_1, J_2, J_3) of $(1, 1)$ -type tensor fields, together with a semi-Riemannian metric g satisfying:

- (i) $(J_a)^2 = -\tau_a I$, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,
- (ii) $J_a J_b = \tau_c J_c = -J_b J_a$, for any cyclic permutation (a, b, c) of $(1, 2, 3)$,
- (iii) $g(J_a X, Y) + g(X, J_a Y) = 0$, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$,

where $\tau_1 = -1$, $\tau_2 = -1$ and $\tau_3 = +1$. Then (M, J_1, J_2, J_3, g) will be said to be an *almost hyper paraHermitian manifold*.

Such a manifold has dimension divisible by four, and the metric has neutral signature. An almost hyper paraHermitian structure on a manifold M will be said *hyper paraHermitian* if and only if, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the Nijenhuis tensor field N_a vanishes, that is each structure J_a is integrable. Then M will be called *hyper paraHermitian manifold*. An almost hyper paraHermitian manifold is hyper paraHermitian if and only if at least two of the Nijenhuis tensor fields vanish (cf. [10]).

Definition 2.3. Let M be a manifold. An *almost paracontact structure* on M is a system (φ, ξ, η) , where $\varphi \in \mathfrak{T}_1^1(M)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\eta \in \bigwedge^1(M)$, satisfying $\varphi^2 = I - \eta \otimes \xi$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. Then M is said to be an *almost paracontact manifold*, denoted by (M, φ, ξ, η) . An almost paracontact structure (φ, ξ, η) will be said *normal* if $N_\varphi = 2d\eta \otimes \xi$, where N_φ is the Nijenhuis tensor field of the structure φ .

Almost paracontact structures were originally introduced by I. Satō in [17] and [18], where he studied also the properties of manifolds endowed with such structures and with a Riemannian metric satisfying suitable compatibility conditions. Besides, one may find similar definitions in [11] and [20], where the further condition that the restriction $\varphi|_{\text{Im}(\varphi)}$ is an almost paracomplex structure on the distribution $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is required. The notion of normality for an almost paracontact structure is defined, as in the classical almost contact case (cf. [1]), through the integrability of the almost product structure F canonically induced on the manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$, defined by $F(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) := (\varphi X + f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt})$ (cf. [11], [20]).

Other properties of an almost paracontact manifold (M, φ, ξ, η) , which are immediate consequences of the above definition, are $\varphi(\xi) = 0$, $\eta \circ \varphi = 0$, $\ker(\varphi) = \text{Span}(\xi)$, $\ker(\eta) = \text{Im}(\varphi)$ and $TM = \text{Im}(\varphi) \oplus \text{Span}(\xi)$.

Endowing an almost paracontact manifold with a metric tensor field, and considering a suitable compatibility condition, we obtain the notion of almost paracontact metric manifold.

Definition 2.4 ([20]). Let (M, φ, ξ, η) be an almost paracontact manifold, and g a metric tensor field on M , that is a symmetric, non degenerate $(0, 2)$ -type tensor field on M . Then g is said to be *compatible* with the structure (φ, ξ, η) , if the following condition holds

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \varepsilon \eta(X) \eta(Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, according as ξ is spacelike or timelike, respectively. Then, the structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is said to be an *almost paracontact metric structure*. We shall call the structure *positive* or *negative*, according as $\varepsilon = +1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$, respectively. Then $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ will be called *almost paracontact metric manifold*. Such a structure (φ, ξ, η, g) will be called *normal* if $N_\varphi = 2d\eta \otimes \xi$.

In [6], the author refers to the same kind of structure with the denomination of almost paracontact hyperbolic metric structure.

As a consequence of the above definition, for an almost paracontact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ one has that the pair (F, g) , where $F := \varphi|_{\text{Im}(\varphi)}$, is an almost paraHermitian structure on the distribution $\text{Im}(\varphi)$, hence $\text{rank}(\text{Im}(\varphi)) = 2m$ and $\dim(M) = 2m + 1$. Furthermore, the signature of g on $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is (m, m) , where we put first the minus signs, and the signature of g on TM is $(m, m + 1)$ or $(m + 1, m)$, according as ξ is spacelike (the structure is positive) or timelike (the structure is negative), respectively. It follows that g is a Lorentzian metric only if $m = 1$ and $\dim(M) = 3$.

We have that TM is the orthogonal direct sum of $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ and $\text{Span}(\xi)$, and finally that $\eta(X) = \varepsilon g(X, \xi)$ and $g(\varphi X, Y) + g(X, \varphi Y) = 0$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Particular classes of almost paracontact metric structures are defined as follows.

Definition 2.5 ([6], [20]). Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost paracontact metric manifold. Then it is said to be a

- (i) *paracontact metric manifold*, if $d\eta = \Phi$;
- (ii) *paraSasakian manifold*, if $d\eta = \Phi$ and the structure is normal;
- (iii) *para-K-contact manifold*, if $d\eta = \Phi$ and ξ is a Killing vector field,

being $\Phi(X, Y) := g(X, \varphi Y)$ the fundamental 2-form associated with the almost paracontact metric structure.

Furthermore, we recall the following result.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an almost paracontact metric manifold. Then, it is a paraSasakian manifold if and only if, setting $\varepsilon = g(\xi, \xi) = \pm 1$,*

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = -g(X, Y)\xi + \varepsilon \eta(Y)X$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

We assume the following definition of mixed (metric) 3-structure, which, although in a different form, is introduced in [8] and [9].

Definition 2.7. Let M be a manifold. A *mixed 3-structure* on M is a triple of structures $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a)$, $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, which are almost paracontact structures for $a = 1, 2$ and almost contact structure for $a = 3$, and satisfy

$$\varphi_a \varphi_b - \tau_a \eta^b \otimes \xi_a = \tau_c \varphi_c = -\varphi_b \varphi_a + \tau_b \eta^a \otimes \xi_b, \quad (1)$$

$$\eta^a \circ \varphi_b = \tau_c \eta^c = -\eta^b \circ \varphi_a, \quad (2)$$

$$\varphi_a(\xi_b) = \tau_b \xi_c, \quad \varphi_b(\xi_a) = -\tau_a \xi_c, \quad (3)$$

for any cyclic permutation (a, b, c) of $(1, 2, 3)$, with $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = -1 = -\tau_3$. If, further, there exists on M a metric tensor field g satisfying

$$g(\varphi_a X, \varphi_a Y) = \tau_a (g(X, Y) - \varepsilon_a \eta^a(X) \eta^a(Y)), \quad (4)$$

for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $\varepsilon_a = g(\xi_a, \xi_a) = \pm 1$, then the metric tensor g is said to be *compatible* with the mixed 3-structure, and $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$, $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, is called a *mixed metric 3-structure*.

From now on, a mixed 3-structure and a mixed metric 3-structure on a manifold M will be denoted simply with $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a)$ and $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$, respectively, leaving the condition $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ understood.

Remark 2.8. We point out that the above definition of mixed 3-structure, without the metric compatibility, is equivalent to the definition given in [8], and very recently in [9], providing that one substitutes the structures $(\varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta^1)$, $(\varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta^2)$ and $(\varphi_3, \xi_3, \eta^3)$ of [8] and [9] with $(\varphi_3, \xi_3, \eta^3)$, $(\varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta^1)$ and $(\varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta^2)$, respectively, and then the vector fields ξ_1 and ξ_2 with their opposite. Nevertheless, the metric compatibility expressed in [9] is also equivalent to (4) of the above definition only in the case $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = (-1, -1, +1)$.

Let M be a manifold endowed with a mixed 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a)$. Considering the two distributions $\mathcal{H} := \bigcap_{a=1}^3 \ker(\eta^a)$ and $\mathcal{V} := \text{Span}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, then one has the decomposition $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$. It follows that $(\varphi_1|_{\mathcal{H}}, \varphi_2|_{\mathcal{H}}, \varphi_3|_{\mathcal{H}})$ is an almost hyper paracomplex structure on the distribution $\mathcal{H}$. Hence $\text{rank}(\mathcal{H}) = 2n$ and $\dim(M) = 2n + 3$. Furthermore, if we have a mixed metric 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ on M , then $(\varphi_a|_{\mathcal{H}}, g)$, $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, becomes an almost hyper paraHermitian structure on the distribution $\mathcal{H}$. Hence $\text{rank}(\mathcal{H}) = 4m$ and $\dim(M) = 4m + 3$. As an obvious consequence, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.9. *Let M be a manifold with $\dim(M) = 2n + 3$, endowed with a mixed 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a)$. If $n \neq 2m$, then there is no metric tensor field g on M compatible with the mixed 3-structure, and M can not have any mixed metric 3-structure.*

The compatibility condition (4) between a metric tensor g and a mixed 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a)$ on a $(4m + 3)$ -dimensional manifold M , together with (3), has some consequences also on the signature of the metric g . In fact, it is easily checked that $g(\xi_1, \xi_1) =$

$g(\xi_2, \xi_2) = -g(\xi_3, \xi_3)$, hence the vector fields ξ_1 and ξ_2 , related to the almost paracontact metric structures, are both either spacelike or timelike. We may therefore distinguish between *positive* and *negative* mixed metric 3-structures, according as ξ_1 and ξ_2 are both spacelike ($\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = +1$), or both timelike ($\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = -1$), respectively. This forces the causal character of the third vector field ξ_3 . Being the signature of g on $\mathcal{H}$ necessarily neutral $(2m, 2m)$, we may have only the following two cases

- (i) the signature of g on TM is $(2m+1, 2m+2)$, if the mixed metric 3-structure is positive $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = (+1, +1, -1)$;
- (ii) the signature of g on TM is $(2m+2, 2m+1)$, if the mixed metric 3-structure is negative $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3) = (-1, -1, +1)$.

We point out that any metric g which is compatible with a mixed 3-structure, in the sense of (4), can never be a Lorentzian one and that the definition of mixed metric 3-structure given in [9] is equivalent to that of a negative mixed metric 3-structure.

Example 2.10 ([4]). Let M^{4m+3} be any orientable non degenerate hypersurface of an almost hyper paraHermitian manifold $(M^{4m}, J_a, G)_{a=1,2,3}$. If $N \in \Gamma(TM^\perp)$ is a unit normal vector field, such that $G(N, N) = s = \pm 1$, one puts $\xi_a := -\tau_a J_a N$, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and defines three $(1, 1)$ -type tensor fields φ_a , and three 1-forms η^a on M , such that $J_a X = \varphi_a X + \eta^a(X)N$, for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then, denoting with g the metric induced on M from G , one checks that $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ is a mixed metric 3-structure on M , of sign $\sigma = -s$.

Finally, we introduce the notion of mixed 3-Sasakian structure on a manifold with the following definition.

Definition 2.11. Let M be a manifold with a mixed metric 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$. This structure will be said a *mixed 3-Sasakian structure* if $(\varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta^1, g)$ and $(\varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta^2, g)$ are paraSasakian structures, and $(\varphi_3, \xi_3, \eta^3, g)$ is an indefinite Sasakian structure. Then $(M, \varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ will be said *mixed 3-Sasakian manifold*.

Remark 2.12. By Proposition 2.6, it follows that a mixed metric 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ on a manifold M is mixed 3-Sasakian if and only if

$$(\nabla_X \varphi_a)(Y) = \tau_a (g(X, Y)\xi_a - \varepsilon_a \eta^a(Y)X), \quad (5)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = -1 = -\tau_3$.

We remark that Definition 2.11 is not equivalent to that given in [9]. More precisely, referring to [9], the condition $(\nabla_X \varphi_2)(Y) = g(\varphi_2 X, \varphi_2 Y)\xi_2 + \eta^2(Y)(\varphi_2)^2(X)$ in the Definition 4.3, using the compatibility condition (29), may be rewritten in the form $(\nabla_X \varphi_2)(Y) = -g(X, Y)\xi_2 + \eta^2(Y)X$, which corresponds to

$$(\nabla_X \varphi_1)(Y) = g(X, Y)\xi_1 + \eta^1(Y)X. \quad (6)$$

on our notation. Since the definition of mixed metric 3-structure given in [9] is equivalent to that of negative mixed metric 3-structure, writing the condition (5) for $\tau_a = \tau_1 = -1$ and $\varepsilon_a = \varepsilon_1 = -1$, we get $(\nabla_X \varphi_1)(Y) = -g(X, Y)\xi_1 - \eta^1(Y)X$, which is clearly the opposite of (6). One obtains an analogous result considering the condition on $(\nabla_X \varphi_3)(Y)$ of [9].

3 On the curvature of $[r]$ -Sasakian structures

In this section, we prove some useful formulas concerning the curvature of both paraSasakian structures and indefinite Sasakian structures. To treat both cases simultaneously, we introduce the synthetic notation of $[r]$ -Sasakian structure on a manifold M , considering a system (φ, ξ, η, g) where $\varphi \in \mathfrak{T}_1^1(M)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\eta \in \bigwedge^1(M)$ and $g \in \mathfrak{T}_2^0(M)$ is a metric tensor field, such that $g(\xi, \xi) = \varepsilon = \pm 1$, $\varphi^2 = r(-I + \eta \otimes \xi)$, $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = r(g(X, Y) - \varepsilon \eta(X) \eta(Y)), \quad (7)$$

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = r(g(X, Y) \xi - \varepsilon \eta(Y) X), \quad (8)$$

obtaining an indefinite Sasakian structure for $r = +1$, and a paraSasakian structure for $r = -1$. From (8) it follows that $\nabla_X \xi = -\varepsilon \varphi(X)$, for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Following [15], the curvature tensor field $R \in \mathfrak{T}_3^1(M)$ of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ , the Riemannian curvature tensor field $R \in \mathfrak{T}_4^0(M)$, and the Ricci curvature tensor field $\rho \in \mathfrak{T}_2^0(M)$ will be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &:= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, \\ R(X, Y, Z, W) &:= g(R(Z, W)Y, X) = -g(R(X, Y)W, Z), \\ \rho(X, Y) &:= \text{tr}_g\{Z \mapsto R(Z, X)Y\} = \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i g(R(E_i, X)Y, E_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $(E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ is a local orthonormal frame, $\varepsilon_i = g(E_i, E_i)$ and $m = \dim(M)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a manifold endowed with an $[r]$ -Sasakian structure (φ, ξ, η, g) . Then, for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$*

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, \varphi W) + g(R(X, Y)\varphi Z, W) = -r\varepsilon P(X, Y, Z, W), \quad (9)$$

where $P \in \mathfrak{T}_4^0(M)$ is the tensor field defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P(X, Y, Z, W) &:= d\eta(X, Z)g(Y, W) - d\eta(X, W)g(Y, Z) \\ &\quad - d\eta(Y, Z)g(X, W) + d\eta(Y, W)g(X, Z). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Denoted with Φ the fundamental 2-form defined by $\Phi(X, Y) := g(X, \varphi Y)$, let us consider the derivation R_{XY} of the tensor algebra $\mathfrak{T}(M)$, canonically induced from the $(1, 1)$ -tensor field $R(X, Y) := [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$. For any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{XY}\Phi)(Z, W) &= R_{XY}(g(Z, \varphi W)) - \Phi(R_{XY}Z, W) - \Phi(Z, R_{XY}W) \\ &= -g(R_{XY}Z, \varphi W) - g(R_{XY}\varphi Z, W) \\ &= -g(R(X, Y)Z, \varphi W) - g(R(X, Y)\varphi Z, W) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let us now compute again the term $(R_{XY}\Phi)(Z, W)$, using (8). One has

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \nabla_Y \Phi)(Z, W) &= X(\nabla_Y \Phi(Z, W)) - \nabla_Y \Phi(\nabla_X Z, W) - \nabla_Y \Phi(Z, \nabla_X W) \\ &= X(g(Z, (\nabla_Y \varphi)(W))) - g(\nabla_X Z, (\nabla_Y \varphi)(W)) + g((\nabla_Y \varphi)(Z), \nabla_X W) \\ &= r\varepsilon (X(\eta(Z)g(Y, W)) - X(\eta(W)g(Z, Y)) - \eta(\nabla_X Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + \eta(W)g(\nabla_X Z, Y) + \eta(\nabla_X W)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Z)g(Y, \nabla_X W)) \end{aligned}$$

Switching X and Y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y \nabla_X \Phi)(Z, W) &= r\varepsilon (Y(\eta(Z)g(X, W)) - Y(\eta(W)g(Z, X)) - \eta(\nabla_Y Z)g(X, W) \\ &\quad + \eta(W)g(\nabla_Y Z, X) + \eta(\nabla_Y W)g(X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(X, \nabla_Y W)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$(\nabla_{[X,Y]}\Phi)(Z, W) = g(Z, (\nabla_{[X,Y]}\varphi)(W)) = r\varepsilon(\eta(Z)g([X, Y], W) - \eta(W)g(Z, [X, Y])).$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{XY}\Phi)(Z, W) &= r\varepsilon((\nabla_X\eta)(Z)g(Y, W) - (\nabla_X\eta)(W)g(Z, Y) \\ &\quad - (\nabla_Y\eta)(Z)g(X, W) + (\nabla_Y\eta)(W)g(Z, X)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla_X\xi = -\varepsilon\varphi(X)$ and $\Phi = d\eta$, then $(\nabla_X\eta)(Y) = d\eta(X, Y)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{XY}\Phi)(Z, W) &= r\varepsilon(d\eta(X, Z)g(Y, W) - d\eta(X, W)g(Z, Y) \\ &\quad - d\eta(Y, Z)g(X, W) + d\eta(Y, W)g(Z, X)) \\ &= r\varepsilon P(X, Y, Z, W). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now, (10) and (11) imply (9). $\square$

It is easy to prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a manifold endowed with an almost (para)contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) . Then one has, for any $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \in \Gamma(TM)$:*

- (i) $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = -P(X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4)$;
- (ii) $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = -P(X_1, X_2, X_4, X_3)$;
- (iii) $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = -P(X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2)$;
- (iv) $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = P(X_4, X_3, X_2, X_1)$;

Proposition 3.3. *Let M^{2n+1} be a manifold with an $[r]$ -Sasakian structure (φ, ξ, η, g) . Then*

$$\rho(X, \xi) = 2nr\eta(X), \tag{12}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

PROOF. We choose a local orthonormal frame $(E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2n+1}$ on M . Putting $\alpha_i := g(E_i, E_i)$, using (7), (9) and the definition of P , since $I = -r\varphi^2 + \eta \otimes \xi$ and $d\eta(X, Y) = \Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y)$, one has, for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X, \xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i R(X, E_i, \xi, E_i) = -r \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i R(X, E_i, \xi, \varphi^2 E_i) \\ &= -r \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i g(R(X, E_i)\varphi(\xi), \varphi E_i) + \varepsilon r \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i P(X, E_i, \xi, \varphi E_i) \right) \\ &= -\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i (g(\varphi X, \varphi E_i)g(\xi, E_i) - g(\varphi E_i, \varphi E_i)g(X, \xi)) \\ &= -r\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i (g(X, E_i)g(\xi, E_i) - g(E_i, E_i)g(X, \xi)) \\ &= -r\varepsilon \left\{ g(X, \xi) - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \alpha_i^2 g(X, \xi) \right\} = r2n\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

4 Mixed 3-Sasakian structures and Ricci curvature

Let us state now the main result, and then examine few consequences.

Theorem 4.1. *Any mixed 3-Sasakian manifold $(M^{4n+3}, \varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ is Einstein. More precisely, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, one has*

$$\rho(X, Y) = -\sigma(4n+2)g(X, Y),$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$, according as the 3-structure is positive or negative.

PROOF. Let us put, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$:

$$Q(X, Y) := \rho(X, \varphi_3 Y) - \rho(Y, \varphi_3 X) + 2\sigma(4n+1)g(X, \varphi_3 Y). \quad (13)$$

We are going to prove that

$$Q(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{4n+3} \varepsilon_i g(R(X, Y)e_i, \varphi_3(e_i)), \quad (14)$$

where $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 4n+3}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal local frame on M , and $\varepsilon_i := g(e_i, e_i)$. Since the structure $(\varphi_3, \xi_3, \eta^3, g)$ is indefinite Sasakian, from (9), with $r = 1$ and $\varepsilon = g(\xi_3, \xi_3) = \mp 1 = -\sigma$, according as the 3-structure is positive or negative, we have

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, \varphi_3 W) = -g(R(X, Y)\varphi_3 Z, W) + \sigma P_3(X, Y, Z, W) \quad (15)$$

for any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Using Bianchi's First Identity, (15), and Lemma 3.2, the right hand side of (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{4n+3} \varepsilon_i g(R(X, Y)e_i, \varphi_3(e_i)) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{4n+3} \varepsilon_i \{g(R(Y, e_i)X, \varphi_3(e_i)) + g(R(e_i, X)Y, \varphi_3(e_i))\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{4n+3} \varepsilon_i \{g(R(Y, e_i)\varphi_3 X, e_i) - \sigma P_3(Y, e_i, X, e_i) \\ &\quad + g(R(e_i, X)\varphi_3 Y, e_i) - \sigma P_3(e_i, X, Y, e_i)\} \\ &= -\rho(Y, \varphi_3 X) + \rho(X, \varphi_3 Y) - 2\sigma \sum_{i=1}^{4n+3} \varepsilon_i P_3(Y, e_i, X, e_i). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Computing the last term, by the definition of P_3 , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{4n+3} \varepsilon_i P_3(Y, e_i, X, e_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{4n+3} \varepsilon_i \{d\eta^3(Y, X)g(e_i, e_i) - d\eta^3(Y, e_i)g(e_i, X) \\ &\quad - d\eta^3(e_i, X)g(Y, e_i) - d\eta^3(e_i, e_i)g(Y, X)\} \\ &= (4n+3)g(\varphi_3 X, Y) + g(X, \varphi_3 Y) - g(\varphi_3 X, Y) \\ &= (4n+3)g(\varphi_3 X, Y) - 2g(\varphi_3 X, Y) \\ &= -(4n+1)g(X, \varphi_3 Y). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17), we obtain (13).

Now, we choose a local orthonormal frame adapted to the 3-structure of type

$$(E_i, \varphi_1 E_i, \varphi_2 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)_{1 \leq i \leq n}.$$

We put, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$: $e_i := E_i$, $e_{n+i} := \varphi_1 E_i$, $e_{2n+i} := \varphi_2 E_i$ and $e_{3n+i} := \varphi_3 E_i$, and

$$\alpha_i := g(E_i, E_i) = -g(\varphi_1 E_i, \varphi_1 E_i) = -g(\varphi_2 E_i, \varphi_2 E_i) = g(\varphi_3 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i);$$

for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we put also: $e_{4n+a} := \xi_a$, and $\alpha_{4n+a} := g(\xi_a, \xi_a) = \varepsilon_a$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \{g(R(X, Y)E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) - g(R(X, Y)\varphi_1 E_i, \varphi_3 \varphi_1 E_i) \\ &\quad - g(R(X, Y)\varphi_2 E_i, \varphi_3 \varphi_2 E_i) + g(R(X, Y)\varphi_3 E_i, \varphi_3^2 E_i)\} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1 g(R(X, Y)\xi_1, \varphi_3 \xi_1) + \varepsilon_2 g(R(X, Y)\xi_2, \varphi_3 \xi_2) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \{g(R(X, Y)E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) + g(R(X, Y)\varphi_1 E_i, \varphi_1 \varphi_3 E_i) \\ &\quad + g(R(X, Y)\varphi_2 E_i, \varphi_2 \varphi_3 E_i) + g(R(X, Y)E_i, \varphi_3 E_i)\} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1 g(R(X, Y)\xi_1, \varphi_1 \xi_3) + \varepsilon_2 g(R(X, Y)\xi_2, \varphi_2 \xi_3). \end{aligned}$$

Since the structures $(\varphi_1, \xi_1, \eta^1, g)$ and $(\varphi_2, \xi_2, \eta^2, g)$ are both paraSasakian, using (9) with $r = -1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \{g(R(X, Y)E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) - g(R(X, Y)\varphi_1^2 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) + \varepsilon_1 P_1(X, Y, \varphi_1 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) \\ &\quad - g(R(X, Y)\varphi_2^2 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) + \varepsilon_2 P_2(X, Y, \varphi_2 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) + g(R(X, Y)E_i, \varphi_3 E_i)\} \\ &\quad + P_1(X, Y, \xi_1, \xi_3) + P_2(X, Y, \xi_2, \xi_3) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \{\varepsilon_1 P_1(X, Y, \varphi_1 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i) + \varepsilon_2 P_2(X, Y, \varphi_2 E_i, \varphi_3 E_i)\} \\ &\quad + P_1(X, Y, \xi_1, \xi_3) + P_2(X, Y, \xi_2, \xi_3). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of the tensor field P , since $d\eta^1 = \Phi_1$, $d\eta^2 = \Phi_2$, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \sigma = -\varepsilon_3$ and $\sigma\varepsilon_1 = \sigma\varepsilon_2 = 1$, using (1), (3) and (4), one has

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X, Y) &= -2\sigma \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i ((g(X, E_i)g(\varphi_3 Y, E_i) - g(X, \varphi_2 E_i)g(\varphi_3 Y, \varphi_2 E_i)) \right. \\ &\quad + g(\varphi_3 Y, \varphi_3 E_i)g(X, \varphi_3 E_i) - g(\varphi_3 Y, \varphi_1 E_i)g(X, \varphi_1 E_i)) \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon_1 g(X, \xi_1)g(\varphi_3 Y, \xi_1) + \varepsilon_2 g(X, \xi_2)g(\varphi_3 Y, \xi_2) \right\} \\ &= -2\sigma g(X, \varphi_3 Y). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From (13) and (18), it follows

$$\rho(X, \varphi_3 Y) - \rho(\varphi_3 X, Y) = -2\sigma(4n+2)g(X, \varphi_3 Y). \tag{19}$$

Since the structure $(\varphi_3, \xi_3, \eta^3, g)$ is indefinite Sasakian, if X, Y are orthogonal to ξ_3 , one has (cf. [1] for the Riemannian case) $\rho(X, \varphi_3 Y) = -\rho(\varphi_3 X, Y)$, hence, from (19), for any X, Y orthogonal to ξ_3 , it follows that $\rho(X, \varphi_3 Y) = -\sigma(4n+2)g(X, \varphi_3 Y)$. Replacing Y with $\varphi_3 Y$, since Y is orthogonal to ξ_3 , one has

$$\rho(X, Y) = -\sigma(4n+2)g(X, Y), \quad X, Y \perp \xi_3. \tag{20}$$

Using (12), we have

$$\rho(X, \xi_3) = -\sigma(4n+2)g(X, \xi_3), \quad X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (21)$$

hence, in particular putting $X = \xi_3$:

$$\rho(\xi_3, \xi_3) = -\sigma(4n+2)g(\xi_3, \xi_3). \quad (22)$$

Finally, if $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, putting $X = X_0 + \lambda\xi_3$ and $Y = Y_0 + \mu\xi_3$, with X_0, Y_0 orthogonal to ξ_3 , and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$, using (20), (21) and (22), one gets $\rho(X, Y) = -\sigma(4n+2)g(X, Y)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, concluding the proof. $\square$

As an obvious consequence of the above result, we have.

Proposition 4.2. *Any mixed 3-Sasakian manifold $(M^{4n+3}, \varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ has constant scalar curvature*

$$\text{Sc} = -\sigma(4n+2)(4n+3),$$

therefore negative or positive, according as the 3-structure is positive or negative.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(M^{4n+3}, \varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ be a mixed 3-Sasakian manifold. Then, M has (pointwise) constant sectional curvature k if and only if $k = \mp 1$, according as the 3-structure is positive or negative, respectively.*

PROOF. Since the 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ is mixed 3-Sasakian, then (9) holds for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Using the constant $\sigma = \pm 1$, according as the 3-structure is positive or negative, and recalling that $\tau_a \varepsilon_a = -\sigma$, we have, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$, $g(R(X, Y)Z, \varphi_a W) + g(R(X, Y)\varphi_a Z, W) = \sigma P_a(X, Y, Z, W)$. Supposing that M has pointwise constant sectional curvature $k \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$, i.e. $R(X, Y)Z = k\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma P_a(X, Y, Z, W) &= g(R(X, Y)Z, \varphi_a W) + g(R(X, Y)\varphi_a Z, W) \\ &= k\{g(Y, Z)g(X, \varphi_a W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, \varphi_a W) \\ &\quad + g(Y, \varphi_a Z)g(X, W) - g(X, \varphi_a Z)g(Y, W)\} \\ &= k\{d\eta^a(X, W)g(Y, Z) - d\eta^a(Y, W)g(X, Z) \\ &\quad + d\eta^a(Y, Z)g(X, W) - d\eta^a(X, Z)g(Y, W)\} \\ &= -kP_a(X, Y, Z, W), \end{aligned}$$

hence, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$, we get $(k + \sigma)P_a(X, Y, Z, W) = 0$, and it follows that $k = -\sigma = \mp 1$, according as the 3-structure is positive or negative. Namely, choosing a vector field Y orthogonal to ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 and such that $g(Y, Y) \neq 0$, by the definition of P_a , given in Lemma 3.1, we get $P_a(\xi_a, Y, \xi_a, \varphi_a Y) = -\varepsilon_a g(Y, Y) \neq 0$. $\square$

5 Mixed metric 3-contact and mixed 3-Sasakian structures

In this section we shall be concerned with some properties of particular classes of mixed metric 3-structures, namely the class of the mixed metric 3-contact structures, which reflect analogous properties of classical metric 3-structures; for more details see [1].

Definition 5.1. Let M be a manifold with a mixed metric 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$. This structure is said to be a *mixed metric 3-contact structure* if $d\eta^a = \Phi_a$, for each $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where Φ_a is the fundamental 2-form defined by $\Phi_a(X, Y) := g(X, \varphi_a Y)$. Then $(M, \varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ will be said *mixed metric 3-contact manifold*.

Our intent here is to prove that any mixed metric 3-contact manifold is in fact a mixed 3-Sasakian manifold.

Let M be a manifold with a mixed metric 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$. Setting $\tilde{M} = M \times \mathbb{R}$, and denoting with t the coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$, define three $(1, 1)$ -type tensor fields J_a , $a = 1, 2, 3$, by putting, for any $\tilde{X} = (X, f \frac{d}{dt}) \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, with $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $f \in \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{M})$:

$$J_a(\tilde{X}) = J_a \left(X, f \frac{d}{dt} \right) := \left(\varphi_a X - \tau_a f \xi_a, \eta^a(X) \frac{d}{dt} \right),$$

where $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = -1 = -\tau_3$. Furthermore, define the $(0, 2)$ -type tensor field G , by putting, for any $\tilde{X} = (X, f \frac{d}{dt})$ and $\tilde{Y} = (Y, h \frac{d}{dt})$ in $\Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, with $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $f, h \in \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{M})$:

$$G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) := g(X, Y) - \sigma f h,$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$, according as the 3-structure is positive or negative, respectively.

Proposition 5.2. *$(\tilde{M}, J_a, G)_{a=1,2,3}$ is an almost hyper paraHermitian manifold.*

PROOF. Let be $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, with $\tilde{X} = (X, f \frac{d}{dt})$. Since by definition $\varphi_a^2 = -\tau_a(I - \eta^a \otimes \xi_a)$, we have

$$(J_a)^2(\tilde{X}) = \left((\varphi_a)^2 X - \tau_a \eta^a(X) \xi_a, -\tau_a f \frac{d}{dt} \right) = -\tau_a \tilde{X},$$

hence $(J_a)^2 = -\tau_a I$. Let now (a, b, c) be a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. Using (1), (2) and (3), one has, for any $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, with $\tilde{X} = (X, f \frac{d}{dt})$:

$$\begin{aligned} J_a J_b(\tilde{X}) &= \left(\varphi_a \varphi_b X - \tau_b f \varphi_a \xi_b - \tau_a \eta^b(X) \xi_a, (\eta^a(\varphi_b X) - \tau_b f \eta^a \xi_b) \frac{d}{dt} \right) \\ &= \left(\tau_c \varphi_c X - f \xi_c, \tau_c \eta^c(X) \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \tau_c J_c(\tilde{X}) \end{aligned}$$

hence $J_a J_b = \tau_c J_c$. Analogously, one has $J_b J_a = -\tau_c J_c$, and this proves that $(J_a)_{a=1,2,3}$ is an almost hyper paracomplex structure on $\tilde{M}$. Let now be $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\tilde{X} = (X, f \frac{d}{dt})$ and $\tilde{Y} = (Y, h \frac{d}{dt})$. Since, by (4), $g(\varphi_a X, Y) = -g(X, \varphi_a Y)$, using the identity $\tau_a \varepsilon_a = -\sigma$, by standard calculations we have $G(\tilde{X}, J_a \tilde{Y}) = -G(J_a(\tilde{X}), \tilde{Y})$ and, by Definition 2.2 it follows that $(\tilde{M}, J_a, G)$, $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, is an almost hyper paraHermitian manifold. $\square$

Remark 5.3. It is clear that, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the tensor field J_a constructed on $\tilde{M}$ is an almost product structure, for $a = 1, 2$, and an almost complex structure, for $a = 3$. The three structures $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a, g)$ are normal if and only if the manifold $(\tilde{M}, J_a, G)$, $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, is hyper paraHermitian.

Thus, we may state:

Proposition 5.4. *Let M be a manifold endowed with a mixed 3-structure $(\varphi_a, \xi_a, \eta^a)$. Then, the structures are normal if and only if at least two of them are normal.*

We shall see in a moment that the manifold $(\tilde{M}, J_a, G)$, $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, is indeed hyper paraHermitian, if the 3-structure is a mixed metric 3-contact structure. To this purpose, let us prove the following preliminary results.

Lemma 5.5. *Let M be a manifold endowed with a mixed metric 3-contact structure. Denoting, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with Ω_a the fundamental 2-form associated with the structure (J_a, G) , defined by $\Omega_a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) := G(\tilde{X}, J_a \tilde{Y})$, we have*

$$d\Omega_a = 2\sigma dt \wedge \Omega_a,$$

for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $\sigma = \pm 1$ according as the 3-structure is positive or negative.

PROOF. Fixed $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let us compute $d\Omega_a$, using the formula

$$3d\Omega_a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \underset{(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})}{\mathfrak{S}} \{ \tilde{X}(\Omega_a(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})) - \Omega_a([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}], \tilde{Z}) \}, \quad (23)$$

for any $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \in \Gamma(TM)$. To this purpose, putting $\tilde{X} = (X, f \frac{d}{dt})$, $\tilde{Y} = (Y, h \frac{d}{dt})$ and $\tilde{Z} = (Z, k \frac{d}{dt})$, using $\tau_a \varepsilon_a = -\sigma$ we have

$$\Omega_a(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \Phi_a(Y, Z) + \sigma(k\eta^a(Y) - h\eta^a(Z)). \quad (24)$$

Furthermore $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = \left([X, Y], \left(X(h) - Y(f) + f \frac{dh}{dt} - h \frac{df}{dt} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \right)$ and

$$\Omega_a([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}], \tilde{Z}) = \Phi_a([X, Y], Z) + \sigma \left\{ k\eta^a[X, Y] - \left(X(h) - Y(f) + f \frac{dh}{dt} - h \frac{df}{dt} \right) \eta^a(Z) \right\}.$$

Finally, from (24)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}(\Omega_a(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})) &= X(\Phi_a(Y, Z) + \sigma(k\eta^a(Y) - h\eta^a(Z))) \\ &\quad + f \frac{d}{dt}(\Phi_a(Y, Z) + \sigma(k\eta^a(Y) - h\eta^a(Z))) \\ &= X(\Phi_a(Y, Z)) + \sigma(X(k\eta^a(Y) + kX(\eta^a(Y)) - X(h)\eta^a(Z) - hX(\eta^a(Z))) \\ &\quad + \sigma \left(f \frac{dk}{dt} \eta^a(Y) - f \frac{dh}{dt} \eta^a(Z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (23), using the above identities, and $d\Phi_a = 0$, one gets

$$3d\Omega_a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = 2\sigma (\Phi_a(X, Y)k + \Phi_a(Y, Z)f + \Phi_a(Z, X)h).$$

Finally, using (24), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} 3d\Omega_a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) &= 2\sigma \left(f\Omega_a(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) - \sigma(fk\eta^a(Y) - fh\eta^a(Z)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h\Omega_a(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{X}) - \sigma(hf\eta^a(Z) - hk\eta^a(X)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k\Omega_a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - \sigma(kh\eta^a(X) - kf\eta^a(Y)) \right) \\ &= 2\sigma(f\Omega_a(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) + h\Omega_a(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{X}) + k\Omega_a(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})) \\ &= 6\sigma(dt \wedge \Omega_a)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

hence $d\Omega_a = 2\sigma dt \wedge \Omega_a$. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let (M, J_a, g) , $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, be an almost hyper paraHermitian manifold, such that, denoting with Ω_a the fundamental 2-form associated with J_a , there exists a 1-form ω satisfying $d\Omega_a = k\omega \wedge \Omega_a$, for any $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $k \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$. Then, each structure J_a is integrable, and the manifold is hyper paraHermitian.*

PROOF. Let us prove that $N_1 = 0$. It is well known that

$$N_1(X, Y) = (\nabla_{J_1 X} J_1)(Y) - (\nabla_{J_1 Y} J_1)(X) - J_1(\nabla_X J_1)(Y) + J_1(\nabla_Y J_1)(X),$$

hence, using (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.2, we get

$$J_2 N_1(X, Y) = -J_2(\nabla_{J_1 Y} J_1)(X) - J_3(\nabla_Y J_1)(X) + J_2(\nabla_{J_1 X} J_1)(Y) + J_3(\nabla_X J_1)(Y). \quad (25)$$

Then, for any $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$, using (iii) of Definition 2.2, with standard calculations, one has

$$\begin{aligned} g(-J_2(\nabla_{J_1 Y} J_1)(X), Z) &= -g(J_2 \nabla_{J_1 Y}(J_1 X), Z) - g(J_3 \nabla_{J_1 Y} X, Z) \\ &= -g(X, (\nabla_{J_1 Y} J_3)(Z)) - g(J_1 X, (\nabla_{J_1 Y} J_2)(Z)) \\ &= (\nabla_{J_1 Y} \Omega_3)(Z, X) + (\nabla_{J_1 Y} \Omega_2)(Z, J_1 X). \end{aligned}$$

Switching X and Y one has $g(J_2(\nabla_{J_1 X} J_1)(Y), Z) = (\nabla_{J_1 X} \Omega_3)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_{J_1 X} \Omega_2)(J_1 Y, Z)$. Analogously, one obtains $g(-J_3(\nabla_Y J_1)(X), Z) = (\nabla_Y \Omega_2)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Y \Omega_3)(Z, J_1 X)$ and switching X and Y one gets $g(J_3(\nabla_X J_1)(Y), Z) = (\nabla_X \Omega_2)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_X \Omega_3)(J_1 Y, Z)$. Since $3d\Omega(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{(X,Y,Z)} (\nabla_X \Omega)(Y, Z)$, from (25) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(J_2 N_1(X, Y), Z) &= 3d\Omega_2(X, Y, Z) + 3d\Omega_3(X, J_1 Y, Z) \\ &\quad + 3d\Omega_3(J_1 X, Y, Z) + 3d\Omega_2(J_1 X, J_1 Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Being $d\Omega_a = k\omega \wedge \Omega_a$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(J_2 N_1(X, Y), Z) &= k \{ \omega(X)\Omega_2(Y, Z) + \omega(Y)\Omega_2(Z, X) + \omega(Z)\Omega_2(X, Y) \\ &\quad + \omega(X)\Omega_3(J_1 Y, Z) + \omega(J_1 Y)\Omega_3(Z, X) + \omega(Z)\Omega_3(X, J_1 Y) \\ &\quad + \omega(J_1 X)\Omega_3(Y, Z) + \omega(Y)\Omega_3(Z, J_1 X) + \omega(Z)\Omega_3(J_1 X, Y) \\ &\quad + \omega(J_1 X)\Omega_2(J_1 Y, Z) + \omega(J_1 Y)\Omega_2(Z, J_1 X) + \omega(Z)\Omega_2(J_1 X, J_1 Y) \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\Omega_3(J_1 Y, Z) = -\Omega_2(Y, Z)$, $\Omega_3(Y, J_1 Z) = -\Omega_2(Y, Z)$, $\Omega_2(Z, J_1 X) = -\Omega_3(Z, X)$, $\Omega_2(J_1 Z, X) = -\Omega_3(Z, X)$ and $\Omega_2(J_1 X, J_1 Y) = \Omega_2(X, Y)$. Therefore, we obtain $g(J_2 N_1(X, Y), Z) = 0$, hence $N_1 = 0$. In an analogous way, one proves that $N_2 = 0$ and $N_3 = 0$. $\square$

As an obvious consequence of Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6, one obtains the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *Any mixed metric 3-contact structure on a manifold is mixed 3-Sasakian.*

Thus, Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 may be formulated for mixed metric 3-contact manifolds.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Prof. Dr. S. Ianuș for many discussions about the topic of this paper, occurred during his stay at the University of Bari and during the first author's visit at the University of Bucharest.

References

- [1] D.E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progr. Math., 203, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2002.
- [2] C. Boyer and K. Galicki, *3-Sasakian manifolds*, in *Surveys in differential geometry: essays on Einstein manifolds*, VI, 123–184, Int. Press, Boston, MA., 1999

- [3] L. Brunetti, *Lightlike hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian manifolds with remarkable structures*, PhD Thesis, Dept. of Math., University of Bari (2007).
- [4] A.V. Caldarella, *Paraquaternionic structures on smooth manifolds and related structures*, PhD Thesis, Dept. of Math., University of Bari (2007).
- [5] V. Căruțanu, P. Fortuný and P.M. Gadea, *A survey on paracomplex geometry*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **26** (1996), no. 1, 83–115.
- [6] S. Erdem, *On almost (para)contact (hyperbolic) metric manifolds and harmonicity of (φ, φ') -holomorphic maps between them*, Houston J. Math. **28** (2002), no. 1, 21–45.
- [7] E. García-Río, Y. Matsushita and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, *Paraquaternionic Kähler Manifolds*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **31** (2001), no. 1, 237–260.
- [8] S. Ianuș, R. Mazzocco and G.E. Vilcu, *Real lightlike hypersurfaces of paraquaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math. **3** (2006), no. 3-4, 581–592.
- [9] S. Ianuș and G.E. Vilcu, *Some constructions of almost para-hyperhermitian structures on manifolds and tangent bundles*, ArXiv: 0707.3360v1 23 Jul 2007.
- [10] S. Ivanov and S. Zamkovoy, *Parahermitian and paraquaternionic manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **23** (2005), no. 2, 205–234.
- [11] S. Kaneyuki and F.L. Williams, *Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J. **99** (1985), 173–187.
- [12] T. Kashiwada, *A note on a Riemannian space with Sasakian 3-structure*, Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. **22** (1971), 1–2.
- [13] T. Kashiwada, *A note on Hitchin's lemma*, Tensor (N.S.) **60** (1998), no. 3, 323–326.
- [14] T. Kashiwada, *On a contact 3-structure*, Math. Z. **238** (2001), no. 4, 829–832.
- [15] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. I, II*, Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1963, 1969.
- [16] Y. Kuo, *On almost contact 3-structure*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **22** (1970), 325–332.
- [17] I. Satō, *On a structure similar to the almost contact structure*, Tensor (N. S.) **30** (1976), no. 3, 219–224.
- [18] I. Satō, *On a structure similar to almost contact structures, II*, Tensor (N.S.) **31** (1977), no. 2, 199–205.
- [19] C. Udriște, *Structure presque coquaternioniennes*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N.S.) **13(61)** (1969), no. 4, 487–507 (1970).
- [20] S. Zamkovoy, *Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds*, ArXiv: 0707.1787v2 24 Aug 2007.

Authors' address

Department of Mathematics, University of Bari
 Via E. Orabona 4,
 I-70125 Bari (Italy)
 caldarella@dm.uniba.it
 pastore@dm.uniba.it